

# Tlaxcala

2010

**Gubernatorial Elections Results**

**Pre-election Surveys**

**Comparative:**

Final Results – Exit Polls – Quick Counts

**State Congress: Election Results**

**Municipal Elections Results**

*The high level of voter participation is noteworthy; supposedly it was to be a tight election, but the vote difference of over 7% between the first and second place finish for Governor was not anticipated.*

*Some 18 years ago the PRI won the governorship with 86% of the vote. In 1998 the PRI lost the governor's race to the PRD by 3 points (47% to 44%). The PAN beat the PRI in 2004 by one point (35% to 34%), with the PRD a near third place. This time around the PRI beat the PAN by 7 points (45% to 38%). The most pressing question for analysts and researcher is how can we understand this dynamic of alternation: is there real competition between rival options, or is there a kind of musical chairs among similar and inter-related political groups?*

*The PAN and the PRI are tied for representation in the local Congress (12 to 12), with the PRD on the margin. After having 11 seats in 2001 and 10 seats in 2004 and 2007, the PRD now has 2.*

*At the level of the municipalities the parties are triangulated: PRI has 27, PRD has 11, AN 8.*

## Gubernatorial Elections Results

<b>2010 (Final Results)</b>	<b>PAN+PNA+AC</b>	<b>PRI+PVEM</b>	<b>PRD+PT+CONV</b>	<b>PARTIDO SOCIALISTA</b>	<b>Total Votes</b>	<b>Registered Voters</b>	<b>Participation</b>
<b>% Votes</b>	<b>37.63%</b>	<b>45.00%</b>	<b>4.75%</b>	<b>6.29%</b>			<b>63.00%</b>
<b>Votes</b>	<b>193,689</b>	<b>231,631</b>	<b>24,436</b>	<b>32,371</b>	<b>514,719</b>	<b>773,890</b>	

<b>2004</b>	<b>PAN</b>	<b>PRI+PVEM</b>	<b>PRD+CONV</b>	<b>Total Votes</b>	<b>Registered Voters</b>	<b>Participation</b>
<b>% Votes</b>	<b>34.90%</b>	<b>33.90%</b>	<b>28.40%</b>			<b>63.70%</b>
<b>Votes</b>	<b>146,864</b>	<b>142,964</b>	<b>119,479</b>	<b>421,406</b>	<b>661,923</b>	

<b>1998</b>	<b>PAN</b>	<b>PRI</b>	<b>PRD+PT+CONV</b>	<b>PDM</b>	<b>Total Votes</b>	<b>Registered Voters</b>	<b>Participation</b>
<b>% Votes</b>	<b>8.60%</b>	<b>44.30%</b>	<b>46.50%</b>	<b>0.60%</b>			<b>61.40%</b>
<b>Votes</b>	<b>27,736</b>	<b>142,718</b>	<b>150,036</b>	<b>1,992</b>	<b>322,482</b>	<b>525,360</b>	

<b>1992</b>	<b>PAN</b>	<b>PRI</b>	<b>PRD</b>	<b>PARM</b>	<b>PFCRN</b>	<b>PPS</b>	<b>Total Votes</b>	<b>Registered Voters</b>	<b>Participation</b>
<b>% Votes</b>	<b>3.40%</b>	<b>85.70%</b>	<b>6.80%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>1.30%</b>	<b>1.30%</b>			<b>38.90%</b>
<b>Votes</b>	<b>4,839</b>	<b>120,734</b>	<b>9,652</b>	<b>2,048</b>	<b>1,804</b>	<b>1,885</b>	<b>140,962</b>	<b>362,369</b>	

## Pre-election Surveys

Candidate/ Party/Alliance	Mendoza y Asoc. June	MITOFSKY June	GCE June	Diario Síntesis June	GCE May	Diario Síntesis May	GCE April
PAN+PNA+AC Adriana Dávila Fernández	31%	31.8%	28.6%	29%	26.3%	27%	23.8%
PRI+PVEM Mariano González Zahur	36%	37.6%	31%	34%	25.6%	35%	27.3%
PRD+PT+CONV Minerva Hernández Ramos	12%	14.1%	12.5%	12%	11.2%	14%	13.5%
Partido Socialista Rosalía Peredo Aguilar							
Ns/Nc		15.1%		22%		20%	

The preference poll tendencies were never favorable to the incumbent ticket from the coalition PAN-PNA-AC, though it registered small improvement in the final period. In June, Mendoza and Mitofsky were on target; so, were Diario Síntesis in May and June, and GCE in April. The change in percentage showed by GCE in May is difficult to understand.

The high percentages of Don't Know/No Answer in the polls of Diario Síntesis give an idea about the cautiousness or confusion of the respondents in the campaign period.

The last minute withdrawal of the PRD-PT-CONV candidate in favor of Adriana Dávila del PAN-PNA-AC probably had some impact on the votes of the PRD-PT-CONV, but there is no indication what impact it had on the other electoral options.

## Comparative:

### Final Results – Exit Polls – Quick Counts

Party	Final Results	Quick Count Consulta Mitofsky	Exit Poll Consulta Mitofsky	Exit Poll Mendoza Blanco
PAN+PNA+AC	37.63%	39%	39%	42%
PRI+PVEM	45%	50%	49%	47%
PRD+PT+CONV	4.75%			5%
PARTIDO SOCIALISTA	6.29%			6%
Others		11%	12%	

The exit polls of the major electronic media (Mitofsky for Televisa and Mendoza Blanco for TV Azteca) picked the right winner, but were off the mark for precise percentages - both tending to overestimate both the PAN and PRI. In the case of Mitofsky, even the quick count includes the overestimations.

On the face of it, there shouldn't be a problem of simple since Tlaxcala is a small state with relatively good infrastructure for communicating and moving about. It is possible that the number of interviews in the exit polls was too small, or that there were too few sample points in the quick count. Or, it may have been that the fluidity and confusion that characterized the last weeks of the campaign continued into the vote itself.

## State Congress: Election Results

2010 (Final Results)	PAN+PANAL	PRI+PVEM	PRD	PT	CONV	PS	PAC	PP	PLT	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	28.45%	28.40%	11.43%	6.31%	3.88%	6.39%	4.30%	1.41%	2.14%			47.16%
Votes	106,040	105,861	42,592	23,525	14,470	23,824	16,034	5,268	7,977	372,721	790,378	
*DEPUTIES	12	12	2	0	1	1	2	0	0			

2007	PRD	PT	CONV	PAN+PAC	PANAL	ALTERNAT	PCDT	PRI+PVEM	PS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	20.00%	6.00%	4.90%	30.50%	5.40%	2.10%	1.80%	18.90%	6.20%			57.59%
Votes	89,191	26,695	21,719	136,127	24,164	9,465	8,238	84,079	27,585	445,688	773,890	
*DEPUTIES	10	0	0	14	0	0	0	3	0			

2004	PAN	PRI+PVEM	PRD	PT	CONV	PCDT	PJS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	18.70%	27.90%	25.30%	11.90%	4.60%	5.00%	2.40%			72.70%
Votes	78,267	117,128	105,957	49,870	19,315	21,059	10,233	419,275	577,106	
*DEPUTIES	6	PRI 10 , PVEM 1	10	3		1	1			

2001	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	¿?	PSN	PAS	PCDT	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	14.90%	31.40%	26.00%	10.20%	3.70%	5.00%	0.20%	1.40%	2.10%			sin dato
Votes	52,964	111,646	92,576	36,447	13,281	17,647	771	5,088	7,431	355,771	sin dato	
*DEPUTIES	3	14	11	2	1	0	0	0	1			

## Municipal Election Results

2010 (RESULTADOS FINALES)	PAN+ PANAL	PRI	PRI+ PVEM	PRI+ PVEM+ PS	PRI+ PS	PRD	PRD+ PT	PT	PVEM	PS	OTROS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	22.32%	5.73%	20.99%	1.60%	1.68%	13.22%	1.11%	3.44%	2.40%	4.63%	SIN DATO			45.94%
Votes	84,220	21,635	79,209	6,045	1,336	49,910	4,192	12,968	9,070	17,468	SIN DATO	363,097	790,378	
Municipalities	8	9	17	1	1	9	2	2	1	3	6			

2007	PAN+ PAC	PRI	PRD	PT	CONV	ALTERNAT	PCDT	PRI+ PVEM	PRI+ PVEM+ PS	PS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	26.10%	2.00%	21.00%	7.70%	3.60%	2.10%	2.10%	15.90%	3.40%	6.40%			57.19%
Votes	115,389	8,943	93,140	33,990	16,142	9,343	9,484	70,251	15,094	28,498	442,631	773,890	
Municipalities	20	2	15	2	1	2	0	15	1	2			

2004	PAN	PRI	PRI+ PVEM	PRD	PT	PVEM	CONV	PCDT	PJS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	18.00%	4.30%	22.90%	22.90%	10.40%	1.50%	6.70%	4.90%	1.30%			72%
Votes	75,375	18,217	95,999	95,794	43,513	6,317	28,237	20,581	5,403	418,793	577,105	
Municipalities	8	4	19	20	4	2	1	1	1			

2001	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	¿?	PAS	PCDT	¿?	PJS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	16.80%	30.30%	25.50%	10.50%	3.50%	3.40%	1.70%	2.50%	1.70%	0.50%			Nd
Votes	59,524	107,340	90,269	37,319	12,338	11,895	5,954	8,999	6,121	1,676	354,578	nd	
Municipalities	5	32	14	6	0	0	1	0	1	0			

## REFERENCES:

The organization of these results is a work product of the MUND Group research team, based on the sources indicated below. In this series of reports/bulletins we have utilized only public and published material. Of course, there is information from non-public and non-published sources, but that is for presentation and use at another time. The observations we make are hypotheses developed to guide our initial follow up work.

Instituto Electoral del Estado de Tlaxcala

PREP Tlaxcala

IMOCORP

CIDAC

Consulta Mitofsky

El Universal

Milenio

Mund Group  
Research in Global Demographics  
Tehuantepec 55 Bis Col. Roma Sur  
México 06760 D.F.  
Tel. +52 (55) 5584 3020  
e-mail: [mund@mundgroup.com](mailto:mund@mundgroup.com)  
Website: [www.mundgroup.com](http://www.mundgroup.com)