

Tamaulipas

2010

Gubernatorial Elections Results

Pre-election Surveys

Comparative:

Final Results – Exit Polls – Quick Counts

State Congress: Election Results

Municipal Election Results

Following the assassinations of the PRI gubernatorial candidate and his campaign team on the eve of the elections, the Tamaulipas vote was monitored for evidence of social responses to the crime. The results do not show a different pattern than the historic one in the State, except for a slight drop of 6.5% in voter participation. (page 2 of this report)

The PRI vote for Governor was up 4% from 2004, but not yet at the levels of its highpoint in 1992. (p 2)

The PAN vote appears stuck at +/- 30%. (p 2)

At the level of the local State Congress, the PRI is better positioned than ever before with 24 of 36 deputies. The PRI has never had more than 19 in the contemporary period. Nonetheless, it is at the Congress level that voters in Tamaulipas show their splitting of the ballot, as well as our seeing the PRI necessity of specific district alliances (with PVEM or PANAL).

Gubernatorial Elections Results

2010 (Final Results)	PAN	PRI+PVEM+PANAL	PRD	PT	CONV	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	30.82%	61.59%	2.85%	1.20%	1.12%			45.27%
Votes	339,535	678,521	31,361	13,208	12,336	1,101,698	2,433,835	

2004	PAN	PRI	PT	PRD+CONV	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	31.50%	57.60%	1.50%	7.30%			51.80%
Votes	339,573	621,692	16,208	78,333	1,079,275	2,085,184	

1998	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	CONV	PARM	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	26.60%	54.90%	16.10%	1.30%	0.30%	0.20%	0.70%			56.30%
Votes	235,437	485,917	142,078	11,224	2,731	1,328	6,284	884,999	1,572,319	

1992	ALIANZA	PRI	PARM	PFCRN	PPS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	25.80%	66.40%	6.00%	1.10%	0.80%			54.90%
Votes	163,697	421,234	37,782	6,682	5,016	573,952	1,045,237	

Pre-election Surveys

Party Candidate / Alliance	MITOFSKY June	GCE June 21	GCE June 1	MITOFSKY May	GCE April
PAN José Julián Sacramento Garza	30%	13.9%	20.1%	25%	31.5%
PRI, PVEM, PNA Rodolfo Torre Cantú	61%	48.8%	58.4%	67%	49.8%
PRD Julio César Almanza Armas	3%	2.5%	3.8%	7%	3.8%
PT Armando Vega García	1.5%	0.6%			
CONVERGENCIA Alfonso de León Perales	1.5%	0.6%			
Ns/Nc		7.8%			11.4%

If the Mitofsky sequence is on the mark, the PRI lost a bit of steam in the course of June. However, without a post electoral study of some kind, this suggestion is hard to follow up.

The GCE polls continue to be somewhat irregular in this State as in others. The PRI preference (discounting the undecided and declined to state) would come to 56% in April, 58% in early June, and dropping to 53% in late June.

Comparative:
Final Results – Exit Polls – Quick Counts

Party	Final Results	Quick Counts Consulta Mitofsky	Exit Poll Consulta Mitofsky	Exit Poll Mendoza Blanco
PAN	30.82%	31%	28%	30%
PRI+PVEM+ PANAL	61.59%	64%	66%	64%
PRD	2.85%		6%	4%
PT	1.20%			
CONV	1.12%			
OTROS		5%		2%
NR			18.5%	

Only Mitofsky publishes its quick count along with the exit poll (Mendoza Blanco may do a quick count, but it is not in this instance published).

The quick count (based on a sampling of actual polling place posted results) has become a standard complementary measure for after-vote projections. In fact, since long before 2000 in Florida most pollsters have regarded exit polls without quick counts as a dodgy procedure for projection.

The 2.5% Mitofsky difference in the quick count projection from the final results probably come from an under-sampling of some rural areas (for questions of time, distance and/or security) where the PRI tends to be strong. The quick count found the percentage for the PAN right on the money - somewhat easier since the PAN vote is concentrated in cities and towns easier to reach.

State Congress: Election Results

2010 (Final Results)	PAN	PRI	PRI+PVEM	PRI+PANAL	PRD	PT	PVEM	PANAL	CONV	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	29.59%	40.64%	6.92%	10.54%	3.91%	1.73%	1.18%	1.44%	1.42%			45.02%
Votes	324,215	445,244	75,802	115,516	42,894	18,940	12,919	15,830	15,598	1,095,677	2,433,835	
Deputies	7	24	0		2	1	1		1			

2007	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	CONV	PANAL	ALTERNAT	PRD+PT	PRI+PANAL	ALIANZA ¿?	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	30.70%	36.70%	6.10%	1.90%	1.00%	0.60%	1.50%	0.40%	2.40%	4.90%	11.10%			48.90%
Votes	347,640	415,904	68,579	21,592	11,118	6,889	16,695	5,028	26,764	55,940	125,953	1,133,240	2,318,734	
Deputies	8	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2004	PAN	PT	PRD+CONV	PRI+PVEM	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	33.20%	4.30%	6.10%	53.90%			51.30%
Votes	355,246	46,325	65,630	576,454	1,070,031	2,085,184	
Deputies	9	2	PRD 2	PRI 19			

2001	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	CONV	PSN	PAS	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	35.30%	50.80%	7.40%	2.30%	0.60%	0.30%	0.20%	0.20%			52%
Votes	337,045	485,297	71,014	21,688	6,164	2,709	1,509	2,334	954,933	1,836,146	
Deputies	7	19	3	3	0	0	0	0			

Municipal Election Results

2010 (Final Results)	PAN	PRI	PRI+PVEM+PANAL	PRI+ PANAL	PRD	PT	PVEM	PANAL	CONV	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	31.17%	0.66%	47.61%	10.75%	4.05%	1.83%	0.04%	0%	1.28%			44.85%
Votes	340,225	7,198	519,723	117,364	44,194	19,972	490	0	13,988	1,091,634	2,433,835	
Municipalities	7	5	8	22	1	0	0	0	0			

2007	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	CONV	ALTERN	PRD+PT	PRI+PANAL	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	30.60%	0.00%	6.90%	1.90%	0.10%	0.40%	0.50%	2.00%	55.40%			48.60%
Votes	344,502	0	77,287	21,476	1,120	4,165	5,229	22,742	624,560	1,126,996	2,318,734	
Municipalities	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	35			

2004	PAN	PRI	PRD	PVEM	PRD+CONV	PRI+PVEM	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	36.00%	34.50%	3.80%	0.30%	8.30%	14.60%			51.30%
Votes	384,392	368,520	40,507	3,477	89,190	156,525	1,068,751	2,085,184	
Municipalities	4	34	0	0	0	5			

2001	PAN	PRI	PRD	PT	PVEM	CONV	PSN	PAS	¿?	Total Votes	Registered Voters	Participation
% Votes	36.30%	49.40%	8.60%	2.40%	0.20%	0.20%	0.10%	0.10%	0.30%			50.30%
Votes	347,111	472,788	81,935	23,292	1,632	1,569	593	1,430	2,721	957,454	1,836,146	
Municipalities	5	36	1	1	0	0	0	0	0			

REFERENCES:

The organization of these results is a work product of the MUND Group research team, based on the sources indicated below. In this series of reports/bulletins we have utilized only public and published material. Of course, there is information from non-public and non-published sources, but that is for use at another time. The observations we make are hypotheses developed to guide our initial follow up work.

Instituto Estatal Electoral de Tamaulipas

PREP Tamaulipas

IMOCORP

CIDAC

Consulta Mitofsky

El Universal

Milenio

Mund Group

Research in Global Demographics
Tehuantepec 55 Bis Col. Roma Sur
México 06760 D.F.
Tel. +52 (55) 5584 3020
e-mail: mund@mundgroup.com
Website: www.mundgroup.com